X₂ Update Wim Kimmerer

This article brings up to date the status of X_2 and the relationships between abundance or survival of various species and X_2 described by Jassby *et al* (1995).

X₂ was calculated for 1968-1991 by interpolating surface salinity values from a series of continuous monitoring stations and correcting for stratification (Jassby *et al* 1995). The resulting daily time series and the monthly means were then fit to time series models to predict X₂ from net delta outflow and the previous day's (or month's) X₂. These models were then used to extend the X₂ time series to the end of water year 1995 using delta outflow from the DAYFLOW model, and through water year 1996 using estimates of daily outflow provided by DWR. For each species, X₂ was averaged over a time period based on the ecology of the species and the collection method, as discussed in Jassby *et al* (1995).

Abundance data were obtained from DFG either as annual abundance indices or as abundance estimates, which were averaged by year. Models generally identical to those reported by Jassby et al (1995) were fit to the data for the same period (typically through 1990 or 1991), and the points added after that were inspected for deviation from the values predicted by the models. The exception was for mysids (Neomysis mercedis and the introduced Acanthomysis spp. combined), for which a log link function was used, with variance proportional to mean squared.

Figure 1 shows the entire time series of X_2 values (A) and a subset for 1991-1996 (B). The periods of high flow in the first half of 1995 and early spring of 1996 are evident in Figure 1B.




Figure 1
TIME SERIES OF MONTHLY ESTIMATES OF X₂ FOR CALENDAR YEARS 1967-1996 (A) and 1991-1996 (B)

In bringing some of the relationships between abundance and X₂ up to date, it became apparent that some of them show signs of depression for the years since 1988, the year when the clam *Potamocorbula amurensis* was first observed to affect zooplankton (Kimmerer *et al* 1994). Figure 2 shows four of the relationships and indicates the points occurring after 1988. Mysids were less abundant than predicted by the regression line in all years but one, and in all years of high flow and low X₂. The bay shrimp *Crangon franciscorum* was less abundant than predicted in several years, but in 1996 it had its highest-ever abundance index and the point fell well above the prediction line. Starry flounder has been at depressed levels of abundance since 1988, and abundance of longfin smelt has been lower than the line for the last 3 years.




Figure 2
RELATIONSHIPS OF X₂ TO ANNUAL MEAN ABUNDANCE OF MYSIDS
AND ANNUAL ABUNDANCE INDICES OF
CRANGON FRANCISCORUM, STARRY FLOUNDER, AND
LONGFIN SMELT

Solid triangles indicate points from 1988 on, and numbers indicate years. The single point for 1983 in the mysid graph was not used in fitting the line. See Jassby et al. (1995) for details of methods used to fit lines to the data.

The continued depression of some, but not all, species below the levels predicted by the regression lines may indicate an effect of *P. amurensis* at several trophic levels above those feeding directly on phytoplankton. Depressed spawning stocks would not appear to explain these low values, since we have had several years of sufficient flows to have otherwise raised these stocks to high levels.

References

Jassby, A.D., W.J. Kimmerer, S.G. Monismith, C. Armor, J.E. Cloern, T.M. Powell, J.R. Schubel, and T.J. Vendlinski. 1995. Isohaline position as a habitat indicator for estuarine populations. *Ecological Applications* 5:272-289

Kimmerer, W.J., E. Gartside, and J.J. Orsi. 1994. Predation by an introduced clam as the probable cause of substantial declines in zooplankton in San Francisco Bay. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.* 113:81-93.

Suisun Marsh Salinity

Dawn Friend, DWR

Salinity levels in Suisun Marsh are influenced by many environmental factors, both natural and human-induced. Tides, tributary inflow, and rainfall are a few of the natural factors; human-induced factors include SWP and CVP operation, upstream diversions, salinity control gate operation, and operations of managed wetlands in the marsh. How these factors interact is not fully understood, but continual monitoring and data analysis help identify overall trends. For the purpose of identifying annual trends in 1996, four basic factors affecting salinity levels are discussed:

- Delta Outflow Index
- Salinity Control Gate operation
- Managed wetland operation
- Tributary inflow

The Delta Outflow Index is an index of the net rate of water moving out of the delta into San Francisco Bay. High index values indicate a large volume of fresh water flowing through the delta and is usually coincident with lower salinity levels in Suisun Marsh. Conversely, low index values are usually coincident with higher salinity levels. In the first half of 1996, the Delta Outflow Index was relatively high and salinity levels were low (Figure 1). This trend is reversed during the second half of the year until December, when the index increased significantly and salinity decreased correspondingly.

The Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates regulate salinity levels in the marsh by restricting the flow of high salinity Grizzly Bay water into Montezuma Slough during flood tide and holding lower-salinity water in the marsh originating from the Sacramento River near Collinsville. In 1996, the gates were operated for only 2 weeks during November. Figure 2 shows both gate




Figure 1
1996 DELTA OUTFLOW INDEX AND SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE

operation and the November progressive mean of specific conductance at high tide at four stations (Figure 3). (Progressive daily mean is the average of specific conductance measurements made at the peak of high tide from the beginning of the month to the day of interest. Progressive daily mean is reset at the beginning of each month.

Three of the stations — Collinsville (C-2), National Steel (S-64), and Beldons Landing (S-49) —are in Montezuma Slough. The fourth — Chadbourne (S-21) — is west of Montezuma Slough in Chadbourne Slough. Salinity levels at the station closest to the gates (S-64) fell within a few days after the gates began operating. At the next closest station (S-49), salinity levels fell within 4 or 5 days. The station farthest from the gates (S-21) responded less significantly to gate operation after a week. The control gates are believed to increase salinity slightly at C-2 because a greater portion of lower-salinity water is




Figure 2 CALENDAR MONTH PROGRESSIVE MEAN OF SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE AT HIGH TIDE




Figure 3
MONITORING STATIONS IN SUISUN BAY AND MARSH